

QUESTION 06

इस तर्क का अन्वेषण करें कि शुद्ध निष्पक्षता नैतिक रूप से समस्याग्रस्त परिणामों को जन्म दे सकती है, खासकर जब असमान सामाजिक परिस्थितियों में इसे कठोरता से लागू किया जाता है। ऐसे उदाहरण प्रस्तुत करें जहाँ सहानुभूति और भावनात्मक बुद्धिमत्ता को सख्त निष्पक्षता पर हावी होना चाहिए। (10 अंक, 150 शब्द)

Explore the argument that pure impartiality can lead to ethically problematic outcomes, specially when applied rigidly in unequal social situations. Present examples where empathy and emotional intelligence should prevail over strict impartiality.

(10 Marks, 150 Words)

Thought Process (Pre-Writing Work)

- Parts of the question with expected marks criteria
- Directive Word(s)
- Topic Word(s)
- Limiting Words
- Writing Presentation
- Writing Interpretation

This section will be discussed in the Answer Discussion Class.

Content for Answer

Pure impartiality, when applied rigidly, can sometimes produce ethically problematic outcomes, especially in unequal social contexts. This is because strict impartiality treats everyone identically, ignoring existing disparities and unique needs.

Examples:

- **Access to Justice:** A judge or civil servant ruling solely based on legal precedent without considering a defendant's socio-economic background or the systemic biases that may have led to their situation might fail to deliver true justice. Empathy allows a judge to understand the impact of their decision on all involved parties, ensuring a more humane outcome.

- **Resource Allocation:** Strictly adhering to a "first-come, first-served" principle for limited resources like vaccines, without considering vulnerability, might disadvantage those who are less able to access such resources, for instance, due to lack of transportation or information. Emotional intelligence helps leaders understand and address the specific needs of diverse populations when making decisions about resource distribution.
- **Environmental Policy:** Implementing environmental regulations without considering the potential impact on communities that rely on certain industries for their livelihoods can create significant hardship, even if the regulations are applied impartially. Empathy allows policymakers to develop solutions that balance environmental protection with social well-being.
- **Caste Discrimination:** A strictly impartial application of rules, ignoring the historical and systemic disadvantage faced by Scheduled Castes and Tribes, can perpetuate inequality. For instance, expecting equal outcomes in competitive exams without affirmative action may ignore historical denial of opportunities. Empathy, recognizing this historical context, supports policies like reservations to foster equality.
- **Poverty Alleviation:** During a crisis, a purely impartial approach to distributing aid, like food or medicine, might treat a wealthy individual and a destitute daily-wage earner identically, failing to address the urgent needs of the vulnerable. Empathy and emotional intelligence guide administrators to prioritize the most vulnerable, ensuring that assistance reaches those who need it most.

In essence empathy and emotional intelligence provide the nuanced understanding necessary to bridge the gap between impartial rules and equitable outcomes, fostering social justice and constitutional morality.

Space for Answer Discussion:

www.mainspractice.com