Evaluate the adequacy of existing measurement tools in capturing India's economic realities. Suggest improvements for evidence-based planning and inclusive development.

Question Understanding - Finding Information

- **Precise Syllabus Mapping:** Indian Economy and issues relating to planning, mobilization, of resources, inclusive growth, development and employment and issues arising from it. **(GS Paper – III)**

- **Marks and words limit:** 15 Marks, 250 Words → The way to get good marks is to use the heading and subheading method when writing your answers.

- **Directive words:**
  - Evaluate → Assess effectiveness with strengths and shortcomings
  - Suggest → Offer practical, forward-looking reforms

- **Focal points of the questions:**
  - Role Adequacy of economic measurement tools
  - Gaps in reflecting India's structural realities
  - Reforms for evidence-based planning and inclusive development

Answer Writing Structure (Outline)

Introduction Paragraph

- Define economic measurement tools
- Link them to planning and inclusive growth

Body Paragraph

A. Major Economic Measurement Tools in India

➤ *Dos & Don'ts: Mention categories, not methodology.*

- GDP and national income estimates
- Employment and labour force surveys
- Poverty, consumption and inequality indicators
- Price indices and sectoral data
- Administrative and digital datasets

B. Evaluation of Their Adequacy

- **Strengths**
  - Provide macro-level growth and sectoral trends
  - International comparability and standardisation
  - Improved frequency and use of digital data

- **Limitations in Capturing Economic Realities**
  - Large informal sector inadequately captured
  - Under-measurement of:
    - Women's unpaid care work
    - Gig and platform economy
  - Averages mask regional and social disparities
  - Time lags reduce policy responsiveness
  - Limited integration of environmental and social costs

➤ *Dos & Don'ts: Keep analysis economy-centric, not governance-centric.*

C. Implications for Planning and Inclusion

- Misallocation of public expenditure
- Inadequate targeting of welfare and employment schemes
- Weak assessment of vulnerability and inequality

D. Suggestions for Improvement

- ➢ *Dos & Don'ts: Directly link reforms to planning efficiency and inclusive growth.*
  - **Beyond GDP Indicators**
    - Incorporate well-being, sustainability and human capital metrics

  - **Better Informal Sector Measurement**
    - More frequent enterprise and household surveys
    - Integration of administrative and digital datasets

  - **Disaggregated Data**
    - Region, gender, caste and sector-wise granularity
    - District-level economic indicators

  - **Real-Time and Technology-Driven Data**
    - Use of geospatial tools, satellite imagery and big data
    - Inter-linking databases across sectors

  - **Institutional Strengthening**
    - Professional autonomy of statistical institutions
    - Transparency and methodological consistency

Conclusion (max. 40 Words)

- Synthesize information

Dos & Don'ts

- **Do for Maximum Marks**

  ✓ Use Key terms: Informalisation of economy, Evidence-based planning, Inclusive growth, Structural transformation

  ✓ You can use Diagram idea: GDP vs Inclusive Indicators (simple flow sketch) Focus on economic structure and planning relevance

  ✓ Critically evaluate, not merely describe tools

  ✓ Highlight informality, inequality and regional disparity

  ✓ Link data quality to policy outcomes

  ✓ Use economy-specific keywords

- **Don't do these Common Mistakes**

  × Do not glorify diaspora uncritically

  × Do not turn it into a GS-II governance answer

  × Avoid technical statistical formulas

  × Don't list reports without analysis

  × Avoid emotional or political criticism

  × Don't ignore the suggestions part

Notes Oriented Content for Writing Answer

India's existing economic measurement tools, primarily Gross Domestic Product (GDP), are inadequate for capturing the nation's complex economic realities, especially concerning inclusive development and overall well-being. While GDP indicates economic output, it overlooks critical factors like income inequality, environmental degradation, and the informal economy.

Evaluation of Adequacy of Existing Measurement Tools

- **GDP (Gross Domestic Product):**
  - **Limitations:** GDP often masks internal disparities. For instance, despite a projected real GDP growth of 7.4% for FY26, nominal growth has slowed to 8%, creating a "headline resilience" that may hide weaker corporate earnings and sluggish mass consumption.
  - **Informal Sector Gap:** Quarterly GDP estimates rely heavily on formal sector proxies, which may lead to an overestimation of growth in a country where the informal sector is a massive employer.

- **CPI (Consumer Price Index):**
  - **Outdated Basket:** Until recently, the CPI basket was based on 2011-12 consumption patterns, failing to account for modern shifts like increased spending on digital services.
  - **PDS Exclusion:** Traditional expenditure tools often ignore non-monetary consumption, such as food distributed via the Public Distribution System (PDS), underestimating the actual well-being of low-income households.

- **HDI vs. IHDI:**
  - **Example:** India's 2025 HDI of 0.685 (medium category) drops significantly when adjusted for inequality (IHDI) to 0.475, representing a 30.7% loss in potential human development due to disparities in health, education, and income.

Suggested Improvements for Evidence-Based Planning

- **Base Year Revision (Scheduled for 2026):**
  - Shift the GDP, CPI, and IIP base years to 2022-23 (releasing Feb-May 2026) to reflect post-pandemic structural changes and current inflation-adjusted real growth.

- **Adoption of Double Deflation:**
  - Implement Double Deflation for GDP calculation (deflating outputs and inputs separately) to more accurately capture value added in the manufacturing and services sectors.

- **District-Level Granularity:**
  - Expand National Sample Survey (NSS) focus from state/national levels to district-level estimates. This would mirror the success of the Aspirational Districts Programme in driving targeted local development.

- **Integration of Non-Traditional Data:**
  - Incorporate real-time datasets from GSTN, e-Vahan, and PFMS into national accounts to reduce the reliance on lagging survey data.
  - Leverage AI and satellite imagery for real-time agricultural yield and urban growth tracking, similar to global best practices in the Netherlands and the US.

- **High-Frequency Employment Data:**
  - Transition to monthly unemployment data releases (starting April 2025/2026) to provide policymakers with a dynamic view of the labour market beyond the annual PLFS.

Path to Inclusive Development

- **Fiscal Health Index (FHI):** Use specialized tools like NITI Aayog's FHI to assess state-level fiscal performance, ensuring that resource allocation is tied to expenditure quality (e.g., healthcare and infrastructure) rather than just revenue generation.

- **Inclusive Indicators:** Prioritize the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) and IHDI over simple per-capita income to identify "last-mile" gaps in basic services.

***